

LOW ENGLISH PROFICIENCY STUDENTS' ORAL INTERACTION WITH NATIVE SPEAKER THROUGH SKYPE

Imelda Wahyuni Husein¹, Pupung Purnawarman^{1*}

¹English Education Department, Post Graduate School Program,
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia
Email: purnawarman@upi.edu

ABSTRACT

There are many students in Indonesia who are afraid of speaking in English. The lack of vocabulary, fluency, accuracy, and also exposure makes it difficult for students to be confident speaking English. This study aimed to investigate how low English proficiency students interact with a native speaker of English and what they feel following the conversation. This study used qualitative research involving four 10th grade students in one of vocational high schools in Bandung, Indonesia. The sample was taken purposively in order to get the uniqueness. Observations were conducted in this study to observe four low English proficiency students' interaction with native speaker of English during 10 meetings. An interview was also conducted to find out what students feel when they have a conversation and whether they feel an improvement in their speaking skill. The data were collected based on observation and interview results. According to the observation findings, low English proficiency students experience an improvement in their speaking skill after interacting with a native speaker even if they faced difficulties. The interview results show that they are more confident in speaking English after having a conversation with a native speaker. Therefore, it is suggested that if it is necessary, the teachers make a collaboration or work together with native speakers in order to improve students' speaking skill.

Keywords: *low English proficiency students', oral interaction, native speaker of English, Skype.*

ABSTRAK

Ada banyak siswa di Indonesia yang takut berbicara bahasa Inggris. Kurangnya kosakata, kfasihan, akurasi, dan juga dorongan membuat mereka sulit untuk percaya diri ketika berbicara bahasa Inggris. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menginvestigasi bagaimana siswa yang kurang cakap dalam bahasa Inggris berinteraksi dengan penutur asli bahasa Inggris dan apa yang mereka rasakan selama percakapan berlangsung. Penelitian ini menggunakan penelitian kualitative yang melibatkan empat siswa kelas 10 di salah satu SMK di daerah Kabupaten Bandung, Indonesia. Sampel diambil secara sengaja dengan bertujuan untuk mendapatkan keunikan. Observasi dilakukan dalam penelitian ini untuk mengamati interaksi empat siswa yang kurang cakap dengan penutur asli bahasa Inggris selama 10 pertemuan. Wawancara juga

dilakukan untuk mencari tahu apa yang dirasakan para siswa ketika mereka bercakap-cakap dengan penutur asli dan apakah mereka merasakan adanya kemajuan dalam skill berbicara mereka. Data dikumpulkan berdasarkan hasil observasi dan wawancara. Berdasarkan hasil observasi, siswa yang kurang cakap dalam bahasa Inggris mengalami kemajuan dalam skill berbicara mereka setelah berinteraksi dengan penutur asli meskipun mereka mengalami beberapa kesulitan. Hasil wawancara juga menunjukkan siswa menjadi lebih percaya diri setelah berinteraksi dengan penutur asli. Oleh karena itu, peneliti menyarankan jika perlu kepada guru bahasa Inggris untuk melakukan kolaborasi atau berkerja sama dengan penutur asli bahasa Inggris untuk meningkatkan kemampuan berbicara siswa.

Kata Kunci: siswa yang kurang cakap, interaksi, penutur asli bahasa Inggris, Skype.

Introduction

English is the most important language in the world and has been known as the international language. In the process of formal teaching of four basic language skills (listening, speaking, reading and writing) in school, speaking is considered as the most important skill to master. In Indonesia context, there are so many students who have difficulties in speaking. They understand what the speaker says, but they do not know how to respond. Pinter (2017) states that speaking fluently and accurately is the hardest thing to do by the students because they should think and speak at the same time. This skill is important as a sender of message to other people orally. Conversation which occurs among two people (the speaker and interlocutor) will occur if they have the capability in speaking skill. The part of speaking skill which cannot be separated is pronunciation. If someone can pronounce

correctly, they can be understood easily as what Harmer (2007) says that the most important thing in pronunciation is intelligibility.

In order to make students' pronunciation better, communicating with native speakers can also help students to develop their speaking skill as what Walkinsaw and Oanh (2014) find from his study that there were many advantages for students who learned English taught by native speaker such as improving their pronunciation skill. There are many ways that the teacher can do in order to let students have conversation with native speakers. In ICT era, there are so many technology choices that can be used such as video call conference by Skype. Skype is an audio conference application which has been used by many people around the world and also some online classrooms nowadays. Skype allows people to make conversation both audio and video calls

either local or international calls. So, this study is aimed to investigate low proficient students' oral interaction with native speakers of English in Skype. This study is also expected to answer following research question:

1. How do low English proficiency students' oral interaction with native speakers of English by through Skype?
2. What do students feel when they have conversation with native speakers of English?

This study also is expected to give contribution to teachers, students, and further researchers. For the teachers, this hopefully can make them aware of the interaction with native speakers can be a very useful exposure for students. Whereas, for the students, this study hopefully can make students be more confident and want to try to interact with native speakers of English. For the other researchers, this study is expected to be used as an additional source especially for those who conduct a research on increasing student' speaking skill by interacting with native speakers of English.

Literature Review

Speaking

Speaking is one of human activities in delivering a message and one of language performances which people use to communicate. Bygate (as cited in Carter & Nunan, 2001) states that speaking in a second language involves the development of communication skill. A speaker needs to have the same language in order to communicate with someone else (Celce & Brinton, 1979). There are several characteristics of good speaker stated by Celce & Brinton (1979) as follows:

- a. Speakers need to consider their pronunciation;
- b. Speakers need to consider their grammar;
- c. Speakers need to consider the rules of sentence formation and the selection of vocabulary.

Those statements are also supported by (Georgio & Pavlou, 2003) that a speaker needs to consider their fluency, pronunciation, and discourse management. Harmer (2007) states that there are two main elements of a good speaker: language features and mental or social processing. Language features is when the speaker needs to consider their speech, expressive devices, lexical, and grammar. Mental or social processing is when the speaker get the information from the interaction.

Difficulties of Speaking

Speaking another language is not that easy since they need to say and think at the same time. There are several difficulties which make speaking difficult to master according to Brown (2001): Clustering (fluent speech is usually phrasal, not word by word), redundancy, reduce forms (contractions, elisions, reduced vowels, etc.), performance variables (hesitations, pauses, backtracking, and corrections), colloquial language (words, idioms, and phrases), rate of delivery (speed), stress, rhythm, intonation, and interaction. He also adds that the biggest problem for a learner is not the complexity of words, sounds, phrases, and discourse forms, but the interactive nature of communication. So, conversation makes a learner know how to say things and when to speak.

Interactional skills requires many things that a learner need to be able to such as express purpose, recognize other speakers' purpose, express agreement, express disagreement, elicit opinions, elicit information, questions assertions made by other speakers, modify statement or comments, justify or support statement or opinions of other speakers, attempt to persuade others, repair breakdowns in interaction, check their understanding, establish common ground, elicit

clarification, respond to request, correct themselves or others, indicate understanding, and indicate uncertainty (Hughes, 2003). He also states some skill in managing interactions: initiate interactions, change the topic of an interaction, share the responsibility, take turn, give turn, come to decision, and end of the interaction. Those skills can be very difficult to master by low English proficiency students in Indonesia whose English as a foreign language.

According to Harmer (2007) there are some problems occur in pronunciation teaching and learning as follows.

- a. What students can hear, some students have difficulty hearing pronunciation feature which we want them to reproduce.
- b. What students can say, as a person who lives in a country whose English is as foreign language, we lose the habit of making sounds because we have learned two languages since we were a kid.
- c. The intonation problem, the most problematic area of pronunciation is intonation.

Some of us have many difficulties to hear and identify the different patterns of raising and falling tones. The key to success teaching speaking is not so much

getting students to produce correct sounds or intonation tunes, but let them listen and notice how English is spoken on audio or video or by their teacher either native or nonnative teachers.

Teaching Speaking and Conversation

In teaching speaking, a teacher needs to deal with students who have a problem in speaking either the students are shy or the students do not know what to say and respond. Harmer (2007) states that there are several ways to help students' problem with preparation, repetition, group talk, and mandatory participation. The activity can be varied such as acting from script, communication games, discussion, prepared talks, questionnaires, simulation, and role-play.

There are two approaches in teaching conversation: indirect and direct approach. Indirect approach in which the learners are more or less set loose to engage in an interaction and direct approach deals with planning conversation program around the specific microskills, strategies, and processes which are involved in a conversation (Richard, 1990). He also offers a list of features of conversation that can be a focus in a classroom instruction such as how to use conversation both transactional and interactional purposes,

how to produce both short and long turns conversation, turn-taking, opening and closing conversations, initiate and respond to talk, how to use both a casual and neutral or more formal style of speaking, how to use conversation in different social settings, repairing trouble spots in conversation, how to maintain fluency, how to produce talk in conversational mode, how to use conversational fillers and small talk, and how to use conversational routines. Other interactive techniques can also be applied such as interviews, guessing games, jigsaw task, ranking exercise, discussions, values clarification, problem-solving activities, role-play, and simulations.

Types of Speaking Classroom

According to Brown (2001), there are types of classroom speaking performance as follows.

- a. Imitative, In imitative classroom speaking performance, students can practice how to pronounce or say something in a proper way by imitating someone either the teacher or human tape recorder speech.
- b. Intensive, Intensive speaking deals with self-initiated or it can be pair work activity in which the learners

- practice some phonological and grammatical aspect of language.
- c. Responsive, Responsive teaching can occur in short replies to teacher- or student- initiated questions or comments.
 - d. Transactional (dialogue), Transactional language deals with the purpose of conveying or exchanging specific information.
 - e. Interpersonal (dialogue), In interpersonal language, students are required to maintain social relationships.
 - f. Extensive (monologue), In extensive language, students are required to have a try in oral reports, summaries, or short speeches.
- they are motivated and tell the students the purpose of the activities as well.
 - c. Encourage the use of authentic language in meaningful contexts.
 - d. Provide appropriate feedback and correction.
 - e. Capitalize on the natural link between speaking and listening.
 - f. Give students opportunities to initiate oral communication.
 - g. Encourage the development of speaking strategies such as asking for clarification, asking someone to repeat something, using fillers, using conversation maintenance cues, getting someone's attention, using paraphrases, appealing for assistance from the interlocutor, using formal expressions, and using mime and non-verbal expressions to convey meaning.

Designing Speaking Technique

There some technique in designing speaking according to Brown (2001) as follows.

- a. Use technique that cover the spectrum of learner needs, from language-based focus on accuracy to message-based focus on interaction, meaning, and fluency.
- b. Provide intrinsically motivating techniques and encourage the students to learn the material so

Native VS Non-Native English Speaking Teachers

Being an English teacher needs to have good language proficiency level. Native English-speaking teachers (NESTs) are usually better than non-native English-speaking teachers (NNESTs) since native English-speaking teachers are already good at speaking, vocabulary, and grammar.

Wahyudi (2012) states that there are many advantages in interacting with native speaker teachers. Ma (2012) also points that native speaker teachers are more communicative and interactive since they have higher proficiency level in terms of speaking.

According to Levis, et al. (2017), students believe that English pronunciation should be taught by a native English speaking teacher since NESTs represent the ideal of pronunciation. Listening to a good model (a native speaker) will make good result for students. The reason why native speech in teaching has become valued is on account of the high importance attached to students' communicative in the foreign language classroom and it becomes the ideal of the phenomenon (Kramsch, 1997). It does not mean that NNESTs are not professional. Having NNESTs in the classroom also maintains some advantages since NNESTs can provide students with some information dealing with students' problems and needs, take advantage of sharing students' mother tongue, and have a solution for students who have difficulties in receiving new information (Medgyes, 1992). Kemaloglu-Er (2017) says that there are no significant differences between NESTs and NNESTs

in terms of teaching roles and engagement classroom skills, but the differences are found in communication and pronunciation skills.

NNESTs are more advantageous when they can share their experience in how they acquire English as their second language while NESTs are more reliable in order to share the culture (Widdowson, 1994). In line with Bayyurt (2006), he states that the more native English speaking teachers, the more students require linguistic and cultural backgrounds information. Both NNESTs and NESTs have their own strengths and weaknesses as a teacher in an EFL/ESL classroom. Teaching goes back to teachers' competence in delivering the materials. NESTs are better in terms of speaking and NNESTs are better in dealing with students' problems.

NESTs also have some pedagogical issues. There are teaching abroad challenges for NESTs both pedagogical and non-pedagogical issues. Some pedagogical issues faced by NESTs are teaching method difference, language issues, students' classroom activity preference, and audibility barriers and non-pedagogical issues faced by NESTs are mutual trust establishment and countries' law (Luong-Phan, 2015). In her study, she suggests that NESTs teachers need a

support such as knowledge about the country (law and culture) itself, teaching support (translator and teaching assistant), and administrative support.

According to Javid (2016), NNESTs and NESTs can be differed based on the use of English, general attitude, attitude to teaching the language, and attitude to teaching culture. In terms of the use of English, NESTs speak better, use real language, and use English confidently, while NNESTs speak poorer English, use 'bookish' language, and use English less confidently. In terms of general attitude, NESTs adopt a more flexible approach, are more innovative, are less empathetic, attend to perceived needs, have far-fetched expectations, are more casual, and are less committed while NNESTs adopt a more guided approach, are more cautious, are more empathetic, attend to real needs, have realistic expectations, are stricter, and are more committed. In terms of attitude to teaching the language, NESTs are less insightful, focus on fluency, meaning, language in use, and oral skills, colloquial registers, teach items in context, prefer free activities, favor group work/pair work, use a variety of materials, tolerate errors, set fewer tests, use no/less L1, resort to no/less translation, and assign less homework, while NNESTs are more insightful, focus

on accuracy, form, grammar rules, and printed word, formal registers, teach items in isolation, prefer controlled activities, favor frontal work, use a single textbook, correct/punish for errors, set more tests, use more L1, resort to more translation, and assign more homework. In terms of attitude to teaching culture, NESTs supply more cultural information while NNESTs supply less cultural information.

Due to some fallacies that both NESTs and NNESTs may have, collaboration or team-teaching can be a powerful combination in order to improve students' skill especially speaking skill. The contribution that involve NEST and NNEST in the classroom can improve students' communicative competence (Tajino & Tajino, 2000).

Skype in Education Life

The use of internet can support students' oral skills since internet has authentic oral models which can help students to acquire and reinforce new vocabulary. Internet also supports teachers who do not feel confident with their proficiency. One of the most common tool is video conference. Video conference is one of efficient ways that can be used into classrooms and it helps students to collaborate with each other at distance.

Video conference also can bring specialist English teachers for facilitating cultural exchanges (Motteram, 2013).

Skype is one of video conference application which can allow students to interact in distance. There are many advantages in studying English through Skype. According to Melynk (2015), Skype allows students to learn with teachers from other countries including those who are native speakers. Studying English through Skype also allows students to develop their language skills in the most comfortable environment for them. The teachers can also use the opportunity to create their personal vocabularies to record the video. Coburn (2010) states that the use of audio and video conference can help students to promote their oral proficiency in English as foreign language and to interact socially with well-educated native speakers.

Related Previous Research

A number of study conducted in investigating the collaboration of NNESTs and NESTs contribution in the classroom. Matsuda & Matsuda (2001) found out that a collaborative relationship between native and nonnative English speaking teachers are needed in order to develop their teaching styles. Oliveira & Richardson also

found out that the collaboration between native and non-native English-speaking teachers not only intend to continue sharing teaching ideas and co-presenting, but also discuss collaborating a book.

The effectiveness of team teaching between native and non-native English-speaking teachers and the findings show that there is an improvement towards students' lexical knowledge and fluency in speech and NNESTs' proficiency has developed as well. So, collaborative teaching has good impact on students and NNESTs (Carless & Walker, 2006). In another study Carless (2006) found out that collaboration teaching between NEST and NNEST provide students with an authentic environment to learn English and develop their confidence in using English for communication, develop innovative teaching and learning methods, and promote the professional development of the teachers. Jeon & Lee (2006) also found out that team teaching assists the professional development both NEST and NNEST teachers, assists the development of teaching materials, and build supportive working relationships. Having both NEST and NNEST in the classroom make students better and according to Lasagabaster & Sierra (2005) in their study, their study showed that 60.6%

students preferred a NEST as a teacher in the classroom but there were 71.6% students preferred both NEST and NNEST are the teacher in the classroom.

It can be seen that students also preferred to have both NEST and NNEST in the classroom since they have their own strengths and weaknesses. In line with Kung's (2015) study, he found out that students believed that both NESTs and NNESTs have different functions based on their teaching strategies and style. NESTs are natural listening and speaking teachers since they are native and NNESTs are better grammar and reading teachers from their learning experiences

Method

This study was conducted to investigate low English proficiency students' oral interaction with a native speaker of English through Skype. This study was conducted through a qualitative research (Fraenkel, 2012). The design which was used was a case study. According to Yin (2003), a case study is an empirical inquiry which means that a case study is used to investigate a contemporary phenomenon in real-life events and the boundaries between phenomenon and contexts which are not distinguishable. The main purpose of a case study is to

understand a case in depth and it is also useful to answer descriptive and explanatory questions (Hamied, 2017). The result is going to explain what really happen in the real event rather than generalize the conditions. Observation and interview were conducted in this study. Observation enables researchers to gather data on physical, human, interactional, and program setting (Cohen & Manion, 2000) and according to Merriam (2015), interview is a process in which the researcher and participant engage in a conversation focused on questions related to study.

Observation was used in this study in order to observe what really happen during the interaction of four students with a native speaker of English in the classroom during 10 meetings of video call conference and interview was used in this study in order to investigate deep truth about what students feel when they have conversation with a native speaker of English during 10 meetings of video call conference. The interview questions used Indonesian in order to make students understand the question better as what Alwasilah (2003) says that using respondents' native language will help the researcher to get more detailed data from the respondents.

There were four low English proficiency students of 10th grade from one of vocational high schools in Bandung, Indonesia. The participants were purposive participant in which they were chosen because they had low proficiency of English. Purposive participant means that the participants are selected because of who they are and what they know (Hamied, 2017). Then, the data were analyzed based on the observation sheets and interview result. The observation sheets were transcribed, coded, and categorized while the interview results were transcribed and analyzed.

Results and Discussion

1. Observation Findings

The observation findings show that four low English proficiency students experience the improvement in terms of speaking skill. The students, who were labeled as S1, S2, S3 and S4, were observed in terms of several aspects: participation in class, student's enthusiasm, student's confidence, and oral interaction aspects (telling story, giving comment/asking, hesitation, doing a contact, speaking loud and clear, giving responses, grammatical correct, and initiating conversation). Based on the observation findings, S1 did not show his

participation and enthusiasm in the first three meetings, then the student showed his participation and enthusiasm after three meetings. In aspect of showing confidence, S1 had lack of confidence during five meetings then his confidence increased after fifth meeting. In oral interaction aspect, S1 told the story after second meeting, gave a comment/asking after fourth meeting, did hesitation for the whole meeting, did a contact for the whole meeting, spoke loud and clear after third meeting, gave responses for the whole meeting, spoke grammatical correctly after fifth meeting, and initiated conversation after fifth meeting.

S2 showed the participation and enthusiasm after fourth meetings. In aspect of showing confidence, S2 had lack of confidence during six meetings then the student's confidence increased after sixth meeting. In oral interaction aspect, S2 told the story after sixth meeting, gave a comment/asking after sixth meeting, did hesitation for the whole meeting, did a contact for the whole meeting, spoke loud and clear after seventh meeting, gave responses after fourth meeting, spoke grammatical correctly after seventh meeting, and initiated conversation after fifth meeting.

S3 did not show his participation and enthusiasm in the first six meetings, then the student showed the participation and enthusiasm after six meetings. In aspect of showing confidence, S3 had lack of confidence during four meetings then showing confidence after fourth meeting. In oral interaction aspect, S3 told the story after fifth meeting, gave a comment/asking after fifth meeting, did hesitation in the first sixth meeting, did a contact for the whole meeting, spoke loud and clear after second meeting, gave responses for the whole meeting, spoke grammatical correctly after seventh meeting, and initiated conversation after sixth meeting.

S4 did not show his participation and enthusiasm in the first six meetings, then the student's participation and enthusiasm increased after six meetings. In aspect of showing confidence, S4 had lack of confidence during five meetings then his confidence increased after fifth meeting. In oral interaction aspect, S4 told the story after fifth meeting, gave a comment/asking after sixth meeting, did hesitation for the whole meeting, did a contact after sixth meeting, spoke loud and clear after sixth meeting, gave responses for the whole meeting, spoke grammatical correctly after eighth meeting, and initiated conversation after eighth meeting.

2. Interview Findings

The interview was conducted to the four low English proficiency students and the results found out how low English proficiency students feel when they had conversation with native speaker, whether the students feel any improvement in terms of speaking skill, and whether the students feel more confident. There were four category in interview. First category was about what they feel when they have conversation with native speaker (NS) for the first time, the difficulties that they found when they had conversation with NS, and their confidence at the first time. Second category was about what they feel during conversation and their understanding. Third category was about the improvement that they feel and fourth category was about their confidence after having 10 meetings video call conversation with NS.

According to interview results, all four students had similar answer. Answering the first category, all four low English proficiency students felt nervous at the first time because they never talked to NS before and they did not know what to say and it made them felt less confidence. The difficulties that they found were NS accent and speed which were very hard for students to follow. Then, the second

category, all four low English proficiency students still felt little bit nervous but the more they spoke to NS, the better they felt and it helped them to understand the conversation better. The result from third category was found that all four low English proficiency students felt an improvement in their speaking skill even if it was not that big improvement but they felt that they could speak English in daily life conversation better than before in terms of pronunciation and grammar. Last, four category, all four proficient students felt their confidence had increased because they had some experience in having conversations with NS.

Conclusion and Recommendation

Students' speaking skill can be increased by several techniques. One of techniques which give a big influence is having conversation with native speaker of English. Students who had lack exposure, grammar and vocabulary knowledge, and fluency and accuracy can improve their speaking skill by having conversation with native speaker of English. It is not only an improvement in speaking skill, but also they felt more confidence in speaking English. It is suggested to all English teachers who are non-native to have collaboration with native speaker of

English in order to help students to improve their speaking skill. English teachers can build connection easily since this is digital era, so they do not have to bring native speaker of English to the classroom.

References

- Alwasilah, A.C., 2002. *Pokoknya kualitatif: Dasar-dasar merancang dan melakukan penelitian kualitatif*. Pustaka Jaya.
- Bayyurt, Y., 2006. Non-native English language teachers' perspective on culture in English as a Foreign Language classrooms. *Teacher Development*, 10(2), pp.233-247.
- Douglas, D.A.N. & Frazier, S., 2001. Teaching by Principles: An Interactive Approach to Language Pedagogy: H. Douglas Brown. *Tesol Quarterly*, 35(2), pp.341-342.
- Carless, D., 2006. Collaborative EFL teaching in primary schools. *ELT Journal*, 60(4), pp.328-335.
- Nunan, D. & Carter, R., 2013. The Cambridge guide to teaching English to speakers of other languages/edited by Ronald Carter and David Nunan.

- Celce-Murcia, M. & McIntosh, L., 1991. Teaching English as a second or foreign language.
- Coburn, J.N., 2010. Teaching Oral English Online-Through Skype (VOIP). *Acta Didactica Norge*, 4(1), pp.Art-1.
- Louis, C., Keith, M. & Lawrence, M., 2000. Research methods in education 5th edition.
- Fraenkel, J.R., Wallen, N.E. & Hyun, H.H., 2011. *How to design and evaluate research in education*. New York: McGraw-Hill Humanities/Social Sciences/Languages.
- Georgio, S.I., & Pavlou, P. (2003). *Assessing young learners*. China: Oxford University Press.
- Hamied, F.A. (2017). *Research methods: A guide for first-time reseachers*. Bandung: UPI Press.
- Harmer, J., 2007. *The practice of English language teaching*. Harlow: Pearson Longman,
- Harmer, J., 2007. *The Practice of English Language Teaching* 4th edition.
- Hughes, A., 2003. *Testing for language teachers*. Ernst Klett Sprachen.
- Javid, C.Z., 2016. Teaching effectiveness of native and non-native EFL teachers as perceived by preparatory year students in Saudi context. *Language in India*, 16(1), pp.98-121.
- Jeon, M. and Lee, J., 2006. Hiring native-speaking English teachers in East Asian countries. *English Today*, 22(4), pp.53-58.
- Kemaloglu-Er, E., 2017. How university students perceive their native and non-native English speaking teachers. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 8, pp.21-29.
- Kramsc, C. (1997). The privilege of the non-native speaker. *Publication of the Modern Language Association of America*, 112, 359-369.
- Kung, F.W., 2015. Reexamining the NS and NNS dichotomy in Taiwanese higher EFL education. *The Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*, 24(1), pp.27-34.
- Lasagabaster, D. and Sierra, J.M., 2005. What do students think about the pros and cons of having a native speaker teacher? In *Non-native language teachers* (pp. 217-241). Springer, Boston, MA.
- Levis, J.M., Sonsaat, S. and Link, S., 2017. Students' beliefs about native vs. non-native pronunciation teachers. *Native and Non-Native Teachers in English Language Classrooms*, pp.205-238.
- Luong-Phan, N.H., 2015. Challenges and support needs of native TESOL teachers in Vietnam: An exploratory case study.

- Ma, L.P.F., 2012. Perceived teaching behaviour of native and non-native English speaking teachers in Hong Kong: are there any differences? *Hong Kong Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 14(1), pp.89-108.
- Matsuda, A. and Matsuda, P.K., 2001. Autonomy and collaboration in teacher education: Journal sharing among native and nonnative English-speaking teachers. *CATESOL Journal*, 13(1), pp.109-121.
- Melnyk, A., 2015. Advantages and disadvantages of using Skype for educational purposes. *Lingu@ Nostr*, pp.84-94.
- Medgyes, P., 1992. Native or non-native: who's worth more?. *ELT journal*, 46(4), pp.340-349.
- Merriam, S.B. and Tisdell, E.J., 2015. *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Motteram, G., 2013. *Innovations in learning technologies for English language teaching*. British Council.
- De Oliveira, L. and Richardson, S., 2001. Collaboration between native and nonnative English-speaking educators. *CATESOL Journal*, 13(1), pp.123-134.
- Pinter, A., 2017. *Teaching young language learners*. Oxford University Press.
- Richards, J.C. and Richards, J.C., 1990. *The language teaching matrix*. Cambridge University Press.
- Tajino, A. and Tajino, Y., 2000. Native and non-native: what can they offer? Lessons from team-teaching in Japan.
- Wahyudi, R., 2012. Native English Speaker Teachers (NESTs) versus Non Native English Speaker Teachers (NNESTs) in TESOL. In *Proceedings of 4th NELTAL Conference* (pp. 1-8).
- Walkinshaw, I. and Oanh, D.H., 2014. Native and non-native English language teachers: Student perceptions in Vietnam and Japan. *Sage Open*, 4(2).
- Widdowson, H.G., 1994. The ownership of English. *TESOL quarterly*, 28(2), pp.377-389.
- Yin, R.K., 2003. Case study research design and methods third edition. *Applied social research methods series*, 5.
- Tajino, A., & Tajino, Y. (2000). Native and non-native: What can they offer? Lessons from team-teaching in Japan. *ELT Journal*, Vol.54, Issue 1, 1 January 2000, Pages 3-11, <https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/54.1.3>.

Wahyudi, R. (2012). Native English speaker teachers (NESTs) versus non-native

English speaker teachers (NNESTs) in TESOL. *The 4 NELTAL Conference, March 31, 2012. The State University of Malang.*

Walkinshaw, I., & Oanh, D.H. (2014). Native and non-native English language teachers: Student perceptions in Vietnam and Japan. *Volume: 4 issue: 2, <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244014534451>.*

Widdowson, H.G. (1994). The ownership of English. *TESOL Quarterly*. 28(2), 377-389.