

# Indonesian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research



Journal homepage: http://ejournal.upi.edu/index.php/ IJOMR/

## The Future of Learning: Ethical and Philosophical Implications of Artificial Intelligence (AI) Integration in Education

Siti Nurhasanah\*, Mulyawan Safwandy Nugraha

UIN Sunan Gunung Djati Bandung, Indonesia \*Correspondence: E-mail: siti381264@guru.smp.belajar.id

## ABSTRACT

This study examines the ethical and philosophical implications of integrating artificial intelligence (AI) in education. In today's digital era, AI holds promise for enhancing educational quality and accessibility through personalized learning, data analysis, and task automation. However, it raises ethical concerns, such as data privacy, algorithmic bias, and reduced human interaction. To explore these issues, we conducted a literature review of academic sources, including journals and research reports. Our findings suggest that while AI can improve learning efficiency and personalization, significant concerns remain regarding student data privacy and diminished teacher-student interaction. Algorithmic bias presents a risk of exacerbating educational disparities. These results align with constructivist theory, which supports adaptive learning, yet conflict with humanist theory, which values human interaction. This research underscores the importance of addressing ethical and philosophical considerations in AI's educational integration. It suggests the need for policies that are both ethical and inclusive and calls for stricter regulations to tackle privacy and bias issues. Additionally, training educators to use AI effectively and ethically is essential. This study contributes to the discourse by highlighting these critical aspects and offering a foundation for developing responsible AI applications in education.

© 2024 Kantor Jurnal dan Publikasi UPI

## ARTICLE INFO

#### Article History:

Submitted/Received 08 May 2024 First Revised 10 Jun 2024 Accepted 19 Aug 2024 First Available online 20 Aug 2024 Publication Date 01 Sep 2024

#### Keyword:

Artificial intelligence, Education, Ethics, Philosophy.

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

In recent decades, technological advancements, particularly in artificial intelligence (AI), have opened new opportunities for enhancing effectiveness in the field of education. One of the pioneers in developing AI for education is Seymour Papert, who introduced the idea of enhancing knowledge through the active role of learners interacting with technology. (Semenov, 2017). Other researchers, such as Ryan Baker, focus on educational data mining and learning analytics to optimize educational systems.

Al technology offers various potentials to improve the quality and accessibility of education through personalized learning materials, student learning data analysis, and automation of administrative tasks, which can reduce the workload of educators (Mustafa *et al.*, 2024). The use of AI in education can provide more dynamic, adaptive, and individualized learning experiences tailored to the needs of each student. (Mustafa *et al.*, 2024; Yusuf & Ristianah, 2023). In developed countries, AI integration in education has led to new approaches focusing on curriculum adaptation and teaching methods based on individual preferences and performance (Alexsius Pardosi *et al.*, 2024; Pusporini & Nurdiyanto, 2024). Additionally, AI has the potential to enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of teaching and learning processes (Bhutoria, 2022; Essa *et al.*, 2023; Murtaza *et al.*, 2022), enabling more optimal learning outcomes.

Several developed countries have successfully implemented AI policies in the education sector, serving as examples for Indonesia. Singapore, for instance, has utilized AI to support personalized learning and administrative management in schools while maintaining a balance between technological innovation and the role of teachers. Singapore's National AI Strategy ensures that AI development is always aligned with strict ethics and regulations, such as transparency in data usage and algorithm accountability. Meanwhile, Finland prioritizes enhancing digital literacy through intensive training programs for teachers and students to ensure everyone is prepared for the digital transformation in education (Vasile, 2023). Indonesia can learn from these policy approaches by designing AI regulations that focus not only on innovation but also on equitable access and digital literacy improvement. The experiences of Singapore and Finland demonstrate that collaboration between the government, private sector, and educational institutions is essential to ensure effective AI implementation.

Al is not only rapidly developing in developed countries but is also starting to be adopted in Indonesia. Al implementation in education includes the use of online learning platforms, automated assessments, and the development of adaptive learning systems that tailor content to student needs. An example of Al application in Indonesia is the use of personalization algorithms in platforms like Ruangguru and Zenius (Fitri & Dilia, 2024). This technology enables more efficient and targeted learning experiences, especially in preparing students for school exams.

However, alongside these potential benefits, there are ethical and philosophical implications that need to be deeply considered (Bibi *et al.*, 2024; Zainuddin, 2024). Current literature shows differing views on the impact of AI in education. Some researchers argue that AI can enrich learning experiences (AlShaikh *et al.*, 2024; Somià & Vecchiarini, 2024), enhance academic achievement (Sappaile *et al.*, 2024), and help bridge educational gaps, while others worry about potential dehumanization and over-reliance on technology. For instance, studies indicate that AI can improve student learning outcomes but also highlight risks related to student data privacy (Jose, 2024; Labbaf *et al.*, 2024).

Its implementation, especially in developing countries like Indonesia, fa0ces many challenges. Not all regions in Indonesia have adequate access to this technology, which can lead to significant disparities. Therefore, ensuring that AI integration in education is equitable and inclusive is crucial to enhancing the quality of national education without compromising social justice values (Isdayani *et al.*, 2024).

This research aims to identify and analyze the ethical implications and philosophical impacts of AI use in the education system. It seeks to understand how AI can influence educational values such as equity, privacy, and autonomy. Additionally, AI integration raises questions about the role of teachers and human interaction in the learning process, which are fundamental elements in the philosophy of education. Thus, this research is relevant not only for academics and practitioners in education but also for scientists and professionals in technology interested in the social and ethical implications of technological innovation. The findings of this research can serve as a foundation for developing more ethical and inclusive policies and practices in the application of AI in education.

#### 2. METHODS

This research employs a literature review approach to examine the ethical and philosophical implications of integrating AI-based personalized learning systems on the role of educators in the era of artificial intelligence. This method was chosen because it allows researchers to collect, analyze, and synthesize information from various relevant and high-quality sources, providing a comprehensive overview of the research topic.

The research process began with a literature search using keywords such as "Artificial Intelligence in Education," "Ethical Implications," and "Personalized Learning Systems" through electronic databases, including Scopus and Google Scholar. The inclusion criteria for literature selection include articles discussing artificial intelligence in education, personalized learning systems, and ethical implications; articles published in scientific journals; in English; and published within the last five years. Meanwhile, the exclusion criteria include articles not relevant to the topic, published in non-scientific journals, in languages other than English, or published before 2018.

The literature analysis was conducted using thematic analysis, involving the identification of themes, concepts, and main arguments from each selected piece of literature. Each article was read and analyzed in-depth to identify various relevant perspectives and findings. Subsequently, a comparison and contrast between these perspectives and findings were conducted to identify patterns, trends, and gaps in the existing literature. The findings from the literature analysis were then summarized and synthesized to provide a comprehensive overview of the ethical and philosophical implications of AI integration in education. The synthesis of findings was done by grouping the main findings based on the identified themes and interpreting these findings about the research questions.

By using the literature review method, this research aims to provide deep and comprehensive insights into the researched topic, as well as offer useful recommendations for educators, policymakers, and researchers in facing the challenges and opportunities presented by artificial intelligence in education.

#### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### 3.1. The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Enhancing Efficiency and Personalization of Learning

Based on literature analysis, a key theme regarding the integration of AI in education is its significant potential to support personalized learning. AI enables learning systems to be tailored to the needs and abilities of individual students, thereby enhancing the effectiveness of the teaching and learning process (Wu, 2024). Other studies describe how AI can create adaptive learning environments where materials and teaching methods are dynamically adjusted to the developmental level of learners (Admane *et al.*, 2024; Syaharuddin, 2024). However, there is a risk of bias originating from the data used to train AI systems. For example, the HOUSES index study in healthcare shows that lower socioeconomic status (SES) groups experience poorer predictive model performance due to incomplete data, which can also affect AI systems in education (Juhn *et al.*, 2022).

Al also plays a role in supporting more inclusive education by providing personalized access for students with special needs. This technology can be used to design specialized learning programs, such as applications that facilitate learning for students with dyslexia or attention disorders, allowing them to learn independently at their own pace. In this way, Al helps create adaptive and responsive learning experiences to meet the diverse needs of students.

Ethical challenges are another theme that needs to be addressed in research on AI for education. Although AI offers benefits in teaching efficiency, issues such as student data privacy, algorithm transparency, and the long-term impact on educator-student relationships require further investigation (Zawacki-Richter *et al.*, 2019). Researchers are concerned about the ethical implications of AI implementation, particularly regarding the potential dehumanization of educator-student relationships and the lack of understanding of possible social impacts (Vera *et al.*, 2024).

There is a gap in understanding the long-term effects of AI use in education, particularly concerning students' social and psychological development. Some studies highlight that human interaction, such as direct communication with teachers and peers, plays a role in shaping students' social and emotional skills, especially during early childhood education (Wu, 2024). If AI replaces most of these interactions, students risk losing interpersonal skills and emotional regulation. Additionally, reliance on automated systems can affect students' critical thinking and concentration abilities in the long term. Therefore, a balanced strategy is needed in AI implementation, ensuring that technology supports learning without diminishing the role of human interaction.

Al integration in education offers many benefits but must be accompanied by philosophical and ethical considerations in its use. By promoting this philosophical approach, educational institutions can harness AI's potential while facilitating educators and learners. Policymakers play a role in shaping the ethical landscape of AI in education. By developing comprehensive policies that address ethical issues, policymakers can ensure that AI technology is used responsibly and equitably across educational environments (Busch *et al.*, 2023; Vasile, 2023). This approach can not only enhance educational outcomes but also contribute to society.

Al applications in education can align with constructivist theory, which emphasizes that students build knowledge through direct experiences and relevant learning activities. Al supports this approach by enabling personalized learning, where materials and methods are adaptively tailored based on students' interests and abilities. For example, AI-based platforms can provide questions that match individual comprehension levels and offer automatic feedback, allowing students to learn at their own pace (Niranjan *et al.*, 2024). This approach

reinforces the concept of constructivism, as students become more active and independent in exploring knowledge.

Overall, AI brings changes to how learning is managed and implemented. By leveraging AI, educational institutions can improve operational efficiency, enhance learning experiences, and meet students' needs more personally. However, the adoption of AI in education must be done wisely, ensuring that this technology not only facilitates teaching and learning processes but also maintains the essence of human interaction and inclusive educational values.

#### 3.2. Ethical and Social Implications

In the ethics of using AI in education, several articles highlight various challenges arising from the implementation of this technology. One major concern comes from parts of society that are hesitant to accept AI due to ethical issues, particularly regarding student data privacy and the potential for excessive surveillance during the learning process (Vera *et al.*, 2024). These concerns are also driven by the fact that AI technology still has many aspects that are not fully understood or explored, creating uncertainty about its long-term impact on education. This situation opens opportunities and the need for further research to address existing gaps and ensure that AI implementation in education proceeds ethically and responsibly (Okokoyo & Nwaham, 2024).

Data privacy challenges are a primary concern because AI systems require personal data to personalize materials and monitor learning progress. This data can include academic information and student behavior during learning, posing risks of leakage and misuse if not managed carefully (Jose, 2024). In Indonesia, although Law No. 27 of 2022 on Personal Data Protection exists, the application of regulations in the education sector still requires strengthening to optimally protect students' rights.

Furthermore, transparency and accountability in the use of AI in educational institutions are crucial issues concerning honesty and responsibility in decision-making. AI systems must be designed. Thus, their processes and outcomes can be understood by educators and students, allowing them to be active participants who can evaluate and critique the decisions made. Without transparency, there is a risk of distrust in AI systems, especially if the results are perceived as unfair or biased against any party. Therefore, accountability is also needed to ensure that every AI-based decision can be justified, creating trust and ensuring that the use of this technology aligns with educational ethics principles (Köbis & Mehner, 2021).

Further concerns highlight how AI use could potentially reduce the autonomy of educators and students, as well as the risk of bias that may arise from AI algorithms. Educators may become heavily reliant on AI systems to determine teaching methods or materials, reducing opportunities to apply unique and creative approaches in the classroom. There is a need for deeper ethical evaluation to ensure that AI not only increases educational efficiency but also upholds inclusive justice values (Farooqi *et al.*, 2024).

The adoption of AI in education impacts the workforce structure in this sector. One impact is the potential reduction in the need for administrative staff and teachers for routine tasks, such as automated assessments and student data management. The presence of AI-based systems allows these processes to be completed quickly. AI-based automated systems can replace some administrative functions that previously required significant human resources, thereby reducing school operational costs (Sudaryanto & Hanny, 2023). In addition, in the learning process, the application of AI can transform the traditional role of educators from instructors to facilitators (Adiguzel *et al.*, 2023; Yu, 2024). In this context, educators guide and support students in using technology, rather than being the primary source of knowledge. This shift allows educators to open broader discussions with students and set guidelines for educational management, balancing the benefits and ethical aspects of teaching (Lee *et al.*, 2024). However, this also raises concerns about the reduction of direct interaction between teachers and students, which can affect the quality of education, especially at the primary education level (Muslimin & Fatimah, 2024).

Research gaps indicate the need for studies to understand the long-term impact of AI and its adaptation to various learning models (Admane *et al.*, 2024; Nemorin *et al.*, 2023). Zawacki-Richter *et al.* (2019) highlights the lack of studies on the challenges of AI implementation in higher education, while other research notes the scarcity of studies on AI's impact on the socio-economic development of early childhood (Vera *et al.*, 2024). Meanwhile, some researchers conducted more in-depth research on inclusive AI integration for student groups less reached by technology. In general, further research is needed to explore the long-term impacts (Lainjo & Tmouche, 2024), ethical challenges, and fairness in access to AI in education.

#### 3.3. Algorithmic Bias and Inequality in Educational Access

Algorithmic bias is a significant challenge in the application of AI in the education sector, especially in Indonesia, which has diverse geographical and socio-economic conditions. AI systems rely on data to train algorithms, but this data often does not fully reflect social or geographical diversity. When the data used is dominated by students from urban areas or middle-to-upper economic classes, AI systems tend to provide more accurate results for these groups, while students from disadvantaged areas or communities with limited access may be disadvantaged (Juhn *et al.*, 2022). This can exacerbate educational inequality, as students in remote areas face not only infrastructure limitations but also the risk of receiving suboptimal AI services.

Al can reinforce social inequality if its algorithms treat all students homogeneously without considering their local conditions and diverse needs. For example, e-learning platforms using Al to recommend materials or learning methods may not be effective for students in areas with limited internet access or digital devices. Additionally, if Al systems are used to assess students without considering their access limitations or learning environments, the assessment results could reinforce negative stereotypes about the abilities of students from disadvantaged areas. This has the potential to widen the gap between urban and rural students.

On the other hand, AI also has great potential to address social inequality in education if designed and implemented correctly. AI can help provide access to quality learning materials for students in remote areas through digital platforms, reducing their reliance on conventional educational infrastructure, which may be limited. This technology can also be used to detect each student's specific learning needs and provide personalized support, allowing lagging students to receive timely interventions. With inclusive AI utilization, schools in areas with limited facilities can leverage technology to bridge existing gaps.

To ensure AI functions as a tool that reduces inequality, policies and approaches are needed to ensure algorithms do not overlook students' social and economic aspects. Technology developers must consider diversifying the data used to train AI systems. Thus, the results are fairer and more relevant to various student groups. Additionally, the government and educational institutions need to strengthen digital infrastructure in remote areas to ensure AI is accessible to all students. With good collaboration between policymakers, technology developers, and educators, AI has the potential to not only enhance educational quality but also create a more equitable and inclusive learning ecosystem for all students in Indonesia.

## **3.4. Policy Directions and Future Challenges**

The use of artificial intelligence in education requires comprehensive and sustainable policy directions to ensure its effective and fair implementation. The Indonesian government, through programs like Merdeka Belajar, has promoted digitalization and innovation in the education sector, including the utilization of AI-based technology. However, specific regulations related to AI use in education remain limited, particularly regarding algorithm transparency, data privacy, and ethical implementation. Therefore, policies are needed that not only focus on technology procurement but also establish ethical standards and usage guidelines to prevent potential misuse.

In designing AI policies, policymakers need to involve various stakeholders, including teachers, students, parents, and technology developers. This collaboration is necessary to ensure that the policies created can address real needs on the ground and consider public concerns about privacy and bias in AI systems. Additionally, policies should prioritize training for educators so they can optimally utilize AI without losing their central role as learning facilitators. This approach also aims to avoid over-reliance on technology and ensure students receive a balanced learning experience between human interaction and technology.

The implementation of AI in educational environments requires ethical guidelines to ensure the technology is used responsibly and does not negatively impact students. These guidelines need to set limits on AI use, especially in direct interactions with students, to ensure technology does not replace the essential role of teachers as instructors and mentors. For example, automated assessment systems or educational chatbots should still be supervised by educators to ensure that the recommendations or feedback provided align with students' needs and conditions. These guidelines must also ensure that AI functions as a support tool for learning, not as a replacement for human interaction. This aspect is crucial for students' social and emotional development.

Ethical guidelines must also regulate how AI manages students' data, given that data collection and analysis are components of personalized learning. To protect student privacy, AI systems must implement the principle of data minimization, collecting only the data necessary for learning purposes. Additionally, students and parents should be given understanding and control over the data collected, including the right to approve or reject its use (Jose, 2024). Implementing such guidelines will help maintain a balance between technological innovation and students' privacy rights, ensuring that AI use does not pose unwanted risks.

In the future, one of the main challenges is ensuring equal access to AI technology across all regions of Indonesia, especially in rural and remote areas. Unequal digital infrastructure can exacerbate educational disparities, so policies need to include acceleration programs to strengthen infrastructure and improve digital literacy across all groups (Zainuddin, 2024). One step is to strengthen digital infrastructure, such as increasing internet access and distributing technological devices to schools in remote areas. The government can collaborate with telecommunications companies to provide affordable or even free internet networks for educational institutions in disadvantaged areas. Additionally, digital literacy training programs for teachers and students need to be expanded so they can effectively utilize AI in the learning process (Zainuddin, 2024). Without increased digital literacy, AI technology risks benefiting only schools in major cities, while students in remote areas fall further behind.

Besides infrastructure, digital literacy among teachers and students must also be enhanced. Many teachers in Indonesia, especially in non-urban areas, are not yet familiar with AI technology and how to use it effectively in the learning process. Therefore, comprehensive training programs need to be organized to ensure that teachers are not only able to use AI but can also integrate it with conventional teaching methods. This approach ensures that technology does not replace the role of teachers but rather strengthens the learning process through a combination of human interaction and digital innovation.

Moreover, regulations should also include accountability mechanisms related to AI use, including transparency in algorithm-based decision-making and protection against potential discrimination. Transparency is needed. Thus, every decision made by AI systems, such as student assessments or material recommendations, can be understood and evaluated by teachers, students, and parents. This is necessary to avoid bias or injustice, especially if AI algorithms contain errors or operate based on unrepresentative data (Köbis & Mehner, 2021). Without transparency, users may find it difficult to trust AI system results and may not be able to object if discrepancies occur.

In addition to transparency, accountability mechanisms must establish who is responsible for each decision made by AI systems. For example, in the case of automated assessments deemed unfair, there should be procedures to appeal or correct those decisions. Regulations must also ensure that AI systems do not reinforce discrimination against certain groups, such as students from low socio-economic backgrounds or disadvantaged areas, by providing unequal results or recommendations (Juhn *et al.*, 2022). With clear and accountable policies, AI implementation in education can be more transparent and fairer, ensuring that this technology truly supports an inclusive learning ecosystem.

Another challenge is addressing the changing skill needs in the era of the Fourth and Fifth Industrial Revolutions, requiring the integration of AI into the educational curriculum. Educational policies need to be designed. Thus, students not only become technology consumers but also understand and develop technology, such as programming skills and machine learning comprehension (Admane *et al.*, 2024). Thus, the education system not only focuses on increasing efficiency but also prepares students to face future economic and social challenges.

Overall, future policy directions related to AI in education should focus on balancing technological innovation and preserving educational ethics values. Policymakers need to strengthen regulations that support the responsible use of AI, ensuring that this technology is used to improve educational quality without sacrificing fundamental aspects such as human interaction and social equality. With the right regulatory framework and collaboration among relevant parties, AI can be a positive catalyst for educational transformation in Indonesia and help achieve inclusive and sustainable educational goals.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

This research demonstrates that AI has the potential to significantly enhance educational efficiency and personalize learning by adapting materials to individual student needs. AI can reduce educators' administrative burdens, allowing them to focus more on teaching and student interaction. However, challenges such as student data privacy, algorithm transparency, and potential dehumanization in the learning process must be addressed.

Additionally, infrastructure and digital literacy gaps, especially in remote areas, could lead to unequal access, requiring comprehensive policies to bridge these divides. To ensure responsible AI implementation in education, policymakers and educational institutions develop regulations and ethical guidelines. These should focus on protecting student privacy, ensuring algorithm transparency, and establishing accountability mechanisms. Training for educators is essential to integrate AI technology effectively without compromising human interaction. Future research should explore the long-term impacts of AI on social and emotional development, assess the effectiveness of policies promoting equitable AI access, and investigate how AI can complement traditional pedagogical methods to create holistic learning experiences.

## **5. AUTHORS' NOTE**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article. Authors confirmed that the paper was free of plagiarism.

## 6. REFERENCES

- Adiguzel, T., Kaya, M. H., and Cansu, F. K. (2023). Revolutionizing education with AI: Exploring the transformative potential of ChatGPT. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, *15*(3), 429.
- Admane, R. A., Sawale, P. S., Jayasree, R., and Kurup, S. J. (2024). Artificial intelligence in education: tailoring curriculum to individual student needs through ai-based systems. *Library Progress International*, 44(3), 8847–8856.
- Alexsius Pardosi, V. B., Xu, S., Umurohmi, U., Nurdiana, N., and Sabur, F. (2024). Implementation of an artificial intelligence-based learning management system for adaptive learning. *Al-Fikrah: Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan*, *12*(1), 149.
- AlShaikh, R., Al-Malki, N., and Almasre, M. (2024). The implementation of the cognitive theory of multimedia learning in the design and evaluation of an AI educational video assistant utilizing large language models. *Heliyon*, *10*(3), e25361.
- Bhutoria, A. (2022). Personalized education and Artificial Intelligence in the United States, China, and India: A systematic review using a Human-In-The-Loop model. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 3*, 100068.
- Bibi, A., Yamin, S., Natividad, L., Rafique, T., Akhter, N., and Samad, A. (2024). Navigating the ethical landscape: Al integration in education. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(6), 1579–1585.
- Busch, F., Adams, L. C., and Bressem, K. K. (2023). Biomedical ethical aspects towards the implementation of artificial intelligence in medical education. *Medical Science Educator*, 33(4), 1007–1012.
- Essa, S. G., Celik, T., and Human-Hendricks, N. E. (2023). Personalized adaptive learning technologies based on machine learning techniques to identify learning styles: A systematic literature review. *IEEE Access*, *11*, 48392–48409.

Nurhasanah and Nugraha., The Future of Learning: Ethical and Philosophical Implications ... | 350

- Farooqi, M., Amanat, I., and Awan, S. (2024). Ethical considerations and challenges in the integration of artificial intelligence in education: A systematic review. *Journal of Excellence in Management Sciences*, *3*(4), 35–50.
- Fitri, W. A., and Dilia, M. H. H. (2024). Optimalisasi teknologi Al dalam meningkatkan efektivitas pembelajaran. *Sindoro: Cendikia Pendidikan*, *5*(11), 11-20.
- Isdayani, B., Thamrin, A. N., and Milani, A. (2024). Implementasi etika penggunaan kecerdasan buatan (ai) dalam sistem pendidikan dan analisis pembelajaran di Indonesia. *Digital Transformation Technology*, 4(1), 714–723.
- Jose, D. (2024). Data privacy and security concerns in ai-integrated educational platforms. *Recent Trends in Management and Commerce, 5*(2), 87–91.
- Juhn, Y. J., Ryu, E., Wi, C.-I., King, K. S., Malik, M., Romero-Brufau, S., Weng, C., Sohn, S., Sharp, R. R., and Halamka, J. D. (2022). Assessing socioeconomic bias in machine learning algorithms in health care: A case study of the HOUSES index. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 29(7), 1142–1151.
- Köbis, L., and Mehner, C. (2021). Ethical questions raised by AI-supported mentoring in higher education. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, *4*, 624050.
- Labbaf, N., Sabeti Choubdar, L., Joorabchi, M. J., Darvish Motevalli, M. H., and Darabani, S. A. (2024). The future of educational management with AI Chatbots. *International Journal of Innovation Management and Organizational Behavior*, *4*(2), 180–187.
- Lainjo, B., and Tmouche, H. (2024). A meta-study of the evolutionary transformative academic landscape by artificial intelligence and machine learning. *International Journal of Education, Teaching, and Social Sciences*, *4*(1), 20-35.
- Lee, J., Hong, M., and Cho, J. (2024). Development of a content framework of artificial intelligence integrated education considering ethical factors. *International Journal on Advanced Science Engineering Information Technology*, *14*(1), 205–213.
- Murtaza, M., Ahmed, Y., Shamsi, J. A., Sherwani, F., and Usman, M. (2022). Al-based personalized e-learning systems: Issues, challenges, and solutions. *IEEE Access, 10*, 81323–81342.
- Muslimin, T. P., and Fatimah, A. A. B. (2024). Kompetensi dan kesiapan guru sekolah dasar terhadap tantangan pendidikan di Era Society 5.0. *Cokroaminoto Journal of Primary Education*, 7(1), 55-72.
- Mustafa, G., Urooj, T., and Aslam, M. (2024). Role of artificial intelligence for adaptive learning environments in higher education by 2030. *Journal of Social Research Development*, 5(3), 12-22.
- Nemorin, S., Vlachidis, A., Ayerakwa, H., and Andriotis, P. (2023). Al hyped? A horizon scan of discourse on artificial intelligence in education (AIED) and development. *Learning, Media and Technology, 48*(1), 38–51.

- Niranjan, M. C., Kavya, S., Hemalatha, M. R., Kousalya, M. D., and -, K. M. (2024). Al enabled personalized learning platform. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*, *6*(3), 23253.
- Okokoyo, I., and Nwaham, C. (2024). Leveraging artificial intelligence for enhanced administrators decision making in educational institutions: A comprehensive exploration of applications, challenges, and opportunities. *NIU Journal of Educational Research*, *10*(1), 63–72.
- Pusporini, W., and Nurdiyanto, H. (2024). Utilization of artificial intelligence in Outcomebased curriculum evaluation and development. *Journal of Research in Social Science and Humanities*, 4(2), 132–134.
- Sappaile, B. I., Nuridayanti, N., Judijanto, L., and Rukimin, R. (2024). Analisis pengaruh pembelajaran adaptif berbasis kecerdasan buatan terhadap pencapaian akademik siswa sekolah menengah atas di era digital. *Jurnal Pendidikan West Science*, *2*(01), 25–31.
- Semenov, A. (2017). Seymour papert and us. constructionism as the educational philosophy of the 21st century. *Voprosy Obrazovaniya / Educational Studies Moscow, 1,* 269–294.
- Somià, T., and Vecchiarini, M. (2024). Navigating the new frontier: The impact of artificial intelligence on students' entrepreneurial competencies. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior and Research*, *30*(11), 236–260.
- Sudaryanto, A. P., and Hanny, S. (2023). Manajemen sumber daya manusia sektor publik menghadapi kemajuan kecerdasan buatan (Artificial Intelligence). *Musamus Journal of Public Administration, 6*(1), 513–521.
- Syaharuddin, S. (2024). Integrating artificial intelligence in learning environments: a comprehensive review of current practices and impacts. *Seminar Nasional Paedagoria*, 4, 14–23.
- Vasile, C. (2023). Artificial intelligence in education: Transformative potentials and ethical considerations. *Journal of Educational Sciences and Psychology*, 13(75)(2), 1–2.
- Vera, I., Briones, W., and Heredia, M. (2024). Ethical perspectives on the application of Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education. *Sinergias Educativas*, *9*, 82–95.
- Wu, Y. (2024). Is early childhood education prepared for artificial intelligence?: A global and us policy framework literature review. *Open Journal of Social Sciences, 12*, 127–143.
- Yu, H. (2024). The application and challenges of ChatGPT in educational transformation: New demands for teachers' roles. *Heliyon*, *10*(2), e24289.
- Yusuf, M., and Ristianah, N. (2023). Optimalisasi pembelajaran menggunakan artificial intelligence (AI) dalam mewujudkan pendidikan islam yang adaptif. *Al-Fatih: Jurnal Studi Islam, 11*(2), 116–127.
- Zainuddin, N. (2024). Does artificial intelligence cause more harm than good in schools? *International Journal of Language Education and Applied Linguistics*, 14(1), 1–3.

Nurhasanah and Nugraha., The Future of Learning: Ethical and Philosophical Implications ... | 352

- Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., and Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education–where are the educators?. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *16*(1), 1-27.
- Zhai, X., Chu, X., Chai, C. S., Jong, M. S. Y., Istenic, A., Spector, M., Liu, J. B., Yuan, J., and Li, Y. (2021). A Review of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Education from 2010 to 2020. *Complexity*, 2021(1), 8812542.